FOXCHASE CANCER CENTER

Igor A. Astsaturov^{*1}, Steven J. Cohen¹, Paul F. Engstrom¹, Zoran Gatalica², Ryan P. Bender², Gargi Dan Basu², Sherri Z. Millis² * Presenter; ¹Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA; ²Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, AZ

2014 GI Cancers Symposium

Abstract #214

Identification of new drug targets may extend treatment options for neuroendocrine tumors (NET), regardless of histologic classification or primary organ site. Methods: 1,250 cases of infradiaphragmatic neuroendocrine tumors (all grades and sites) were identified among >50,000 cases profiled in a CLIA-certified laboratory. Biomarker profiling utilized multiple platforms: gene sequencing (next generation sequencing [NGS], Sanger or pyrosequencing), gene copy number by in-situ hybridization, and protein expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC). The results are shown relative to the total number of tests performed. **<u>Results</u>**: Overall, drug therapy-relevant alterations were identified in 1130 of 1250 (90%) of cases. Low or absent (0 or 1+ by IHC) expression ofMGMT a biomarker of sensitivity to alkylating agents, was found in 130/219 pancreatic cases (59%), and in 450/991 (45%) of nonpancreatic NET. Low or absent (0 or 1+ by IHC) expression of RRM1, a biomarker of gemcitabine sensitivity, was found in 813/1100 of NET (74%) and low or absent thymidine synthase, TS, a biomarker of fluoropyrimidine sensitivity, was shown for 793/1096 (72%) of NET by IHC. Sequencing of tumors showed oncogenic mutations in BRAF (4/369) (V600E in 3 and G596R in 1), CTNNB1 (2/150), KIT (3/281), EGFR (1/178), FGFR2 (1/150), GNAS (1/150), HRAS (2/150), PIK3CA (6/343), RB (2/150) VHL (1/150), KRAS (10/125), NRAS (2/274), and APC (2/150) and amplifications of EGFR (46/686) and MET (4/236). Ki67 status and correlation between the site of origin and biomarkers will be presented. Therapies guided by mechanism-based biomarkers produced durable responses in documented cases: partial response (PR) >1 year to imatinib in a patient with KIT-mutant metastatic NET, and in cases of MGMT^{low}/TS^{low} treated with streptozocine or temizolomide plus fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy, thus supporting the clinical relevance of target profiling in NET. Conclusions: Comprehensive multiplatform profiling of a large series (n=1250) of NET, despite low frequency of individual biomarkers, identified clinically relevant targets in the majority of patients. Our results provide the basis for future clinical trials to assess the efficacy of biomarker-based therapy for NET.

Methods

- All neuroendocrine tumor cases referred to Caris Life Sciences between 2009 thru Sep. 2013 from 50 states and 30 countries were evaluated; diagnoses were collected from referring physicians and classified at intake based on pathology and clinical history. Specific testing was performed per physician request and included a combination of sequencing (Sanger, NGS or pyrosequencing), protein expression (immunohistochemistry), and/or gene amplification (CISH) or FISH).
- Statistical analysis was performed using JMP and the Fisher two tail test was used to report p values.
- MGMT protein expression was low when <35% tumor cells stained intensity $\leq 1+$.
- RRM1 protein expression was low when <50% tumor cells stained intensity $\leq 2+$.
- TS protein expression was low when <10% tumor cells stained intensity \leq 3+.

Profiling of 1,250 neuroendocrine tumors identifies multiple potential drug targets

Biomarker panel, Caris Molecular IntelligenceTM Profiling

Tests performed: 1.IHC- 30 biomarkers 2.FISH/CISH- 6 biomarkers 3.Sanger seq- 6 biomarkers 4.NGS- 44 gene panel

(a range is shown when not all biomarkers were tested for a single case)

Results

| Marker | Pancreatic NET | Non- |
|----------------|------------------|------|
| MGMT low (IHC) | 130/219 (59%) | 4 |
| RRM1 low (IHC) | 166/191 (87%) | 6 |
| TS low (IHC) | 180/191 (94%) | 7 |

| Gene | Mutation | Domain | |
|--------|---|--|--|
| KIT | L647F V560del D579del V532I | Kinase Membrane Helical | |
| BRAF | K601E V600E (3) G596R G469A | Kinase Kinase Kinase | |
| EGFR | CN increase | | |
| EGFR | G719S | Kinase | |
| PI3KCA | H1047R (3) M1043I (2) E542K E110_N114delinsD | Kinase Kinase Helical p85 Binding | |
| FGFR2 | A379T C382R | Membrane | |
| MET | CN increase | | |
| MET | D174N T1010I (2) | Membrai | |

*Investigational drug 28 of 44 genes in the NGS panel tested positive for a mutation in at least one case; HNF1A, SMAD4, VHL mutations were seen only in pancreatic NET; APC, BRAF, KRAS, NRAS, TP53 mutations were seen in both pancreatic and non-pancreatic NET.





in at least 17% of all NET gemcitabine)



Conclusions

•Multi-platform profiling, measuring gene amplification, mutation and/or protein expression identified alterations in 93% of NET; 91% aid in treatment selection

•Drug-amenable alterations (amplification or mutation) are found

•Additional biomarkers of chemotherapy sensitivity are worth exploring in a systematic study (5FU, alkylating agents,

•Therapeutic selection based on information provided by a commercially available multi-platform molecular profiling service produced durable responses in select patients

•Given the expanding number of potential treatments for this group of relatively indolent tumors, further study and expansion of this panel of markers is warranted.